

City of Santa Ana Draft Maps

JANUARY 18, 2021

Agenda

What we will cover

- Traditional Redistricting Principles
- Census Data
- Public Submissions
- Community of Interest Testimony
- Current Lines
- Draft Maps
- Next Steps



Traditional Redistricting Principles

Complying with the Fair Maps Act

The Fair Maps Act passed by the Legislature mandates a set of criteria we must follow when drawing ward plans.

- Relatively equal size people, not citizens
- Contiguous wards should not hop/jump
- Maintain "communities of interest"
- Follow city and census designated place boundaries
- Keep wards compact appearance/function



Census Data

Census Files come in two parts:

Geography – the underlying framework of the data

Data – the counts of population and demographics

And they can convey different information:

Point in Time – Decennial Census

Multi-year Averages – Trends/Estimates



Census Data

The Geography is called the TIGER Files

- Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding and Referencing

- Nested geographic units
 - Block
 - Block Group
 - Tract






Census Data







Census Blocks

Census Block Groups

Census Tracts



Census Data

Two different datasets most commonly used in redistricting:

- PL 94-171 the Decennial Census File
 - Census Block Geography
 - Total Population Counts for April 1, 2020, used to determine the size of each district
 - Required to be released before April 1, 2021, but was delayed until September 20th, with a "legacy" dataset released on Aug. 12th



Census Data

The census data file the city is required to use will be slightly different:

- Statewide Prisoner Reallocation
 - California Statewide Database | UC Berkeley adjusted population removing state prison population and reallocating them based on where they lived prior to being incarcerated.
 - This allows a more equitable redistricting.



Census Data

Two different datasets most commonly used in redistricting:

- American Community Survey (ACS)
 - Census Block Group and Census Tract or larger geographies
 - Results in <u>estimated data</u> that provides more context to the Census results with demographic and socioeconomic info.
 - Provides Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)




From COI to Maps

With the Fair Maps Act requiring cities to include the public input in the redistricting process, we move redistricting out into the public, with maps driven by Community of Interest testimony.

Input from community drives process with multiple ways for the public to engage.

Rules for process emphasize the importance of traditional criteria and minimizing divisions of neighborhoods.


Releasing maps for feedback – today we will see plans to spark more public engagement.





The City of Santa Ana City Council obtained public map submissions

- Use of the online mapping tool DistrictR to solicit input.
- Hosted 6 Community Workshops + additional community presentations
- Online training of DistrictR at each Community Workshop.
- 19 full citywide plans, 3 partial plans and 3 community of interest submissions.





We have converted all full and partial plans, received by January 11th, to our "Atlas" format which includes:

- Cover page with full districting plan overview.
- Data table with population, deviation, ethnic population, Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)Ethnicity and breakdowns for each ward (numbered 1-6 for a complete plan)
- Individual ward maps with street-details and data tables and charts.





We have converted all full and partial plans, received by January 11th, to our "Atlas" format which includes:

- Cover page with full districting plan overview.
- Data table with population, deviation, ethnic population, Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)Ethnicity and breakdowns for each (numbered 1-6 for a complete plan) ward
- Individual ward maps with street-details and data tables and charts.





We have converted all full and partial plans, received by January 11th, to our "Atlas" format which includes:


- Cover page with full districting plan overview.
- Data table with population, deviation, ethnic population, Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)Ethnicity and breakdowns for each ward (numbered 1-6 for a complete plan)
- Individual ward maps with street-details and data tables and charts.





The Community of Interest maps drawn by the public and received by January 11^{th} , were also converted to Atlas format.


- Community of Interest submissions provided to commission with descriptions provided by the public.
- All COI submissions are available on DistrictR site with points of interest and descriptions.
- Communities of Interest used to develop draft plans based on commission direction.





In addition to PDF maps of all submissions, there are also web maps provided.


These allow the user to zoom in to street level for a closer inspection.





In addition to PDF maps of all submissions, there are also web maps provided.

These allow the user to zoom in to street level for a closer inspection of where ward lines are placed.





Public Map Plan Submissions

Placing Public Plans into Mapping Schools

To date, there have been 31 public plan submissions and 10 COI submissions through DistrictR and through electronic submittal. These plans can be categorized as follows:

- COIs
- Noncontiguous or population-imbalance (total deviation above 10%)
- Major Streets
- Compact
- Multiple Central Wards
- Follow McFadden for Southern Wards
- Other Major Changes to current plan
- VietRISE Plans


Of the public plans submitted through DistrictR only one created an Asian plurality ward



Community of Interest

DistrictR IDs: 89910, 90008, 90067, 92283






Noncontiguous or Population Imbalanced maps

DistrictR IDs: 87849, 86638, 77239, 90181






Major Streets

DistrictR IDs: 76209, 76306






Compact


DistrictR IDs: 76576, 87836






Northern Neighborhoods together

DistrictR IDs: 90353, 90378, 90447, 92322, 96223, 96937








Multiple Central Wards

DistrictR IDs: 86566, 87613, 87736, 89989, 90501, 93044






Follow McFadden for Southern Wards

DistrictR IDs: 70404, 70524, 70537, 80649, 80650, 90376






Other Major Changes to Current Plan

DistrictR IDs: 76339, 77539, 77567, 86619, 89993





VietRISE

VietRise 1 and VietRISE 2



COI Map Report

The Submitted COI Map Report provides COI and Draft Map public submissions, COI testimony from public workshops and themes from publicly submitted maps with the following summary data:

- Submission date
- Thumbnail image of plan
- Name and ID# of public submission
- Public testimony for COI maps
- Themes for submitted draft maps







Placemats

To date, there have been 18 Community of Interest placemats received by staff and are on the website. These plans can be categorized as follows:

- Sullivan Community
- Temple, McFadden and Mini St
- Cham, speaking community









Community of Interest Forms

The Community of Interest forms filled out by the public and received by staff are on the website

The city received 31 Community of Interest responses







Council Amendments

During the November 15th Redistricting meeting Redistricting Partners received the following requests for amendments from the City Council

- 1 Plurality Asian ward
- To keep the following Neighborhoods together
 - North Park folks
 - Southern NH South Coast Alliance
 - New Horizon
 - o Bella Vista
 - Not split Flower down the middle
 - NH East Side Delhi
 - Cambodian population on East side
 - Park and Washington NHs
 - Sullivan Community







City of Santa Ana Current Lines

2020 Census

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Population	51,983	50,462	57,056	50,404	52,698	50,111
Deviation	-136	-1,657	4,937	-1,715	579	-2,008
Deviation %	-0.3%	-3.2%	9.5%	-3.3%	1.1%	-3.9%
Other	4,344	4,604	12,129	3,509	3,675	4,079
Other %	8.4%	9.1%	21.3%	7.0%	7.0%	8.1%
Latino	28,431	40,029	39,036	43,924	45,307	42,900
Latino %	54.7%	79.3%	68.4%	87.1%	86.0%	85.6%
Asian	18,813	5,264	5,213	2,718	3,161	2,749
Asian %	36.2%	10.4%	9.1%	5.4%	6.0%	5.5%
Black	395	565	678	253	555	383
Black %	0.8%	1.1%	1.2%	0.5%	1.1%	0.8%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Total CVAP	31,000	24,738	33,290	26,660	23,076	23,497
Other CVAP	4,170	3,860	12,062	3,801	2,952	3,450
Other CVAP %	13.5%	15.6%	36.2%	14.3%	12.8%	14.7%
Latino CVAP	13,761	16,481	16,681	20,837	17,430	17,753
Latino CVAP %	44.4%	66.6%	50.1%	78.2%	75.5%	75.6%
Asian CVAP	12,845	3,842	3,658	1,760	2,424	2,151
Asian CVAP %	41.4%	15.5%	11.0%	6.6%	10.5%	92%
Black CVAP	224	555	889	262	270	143
Black CVAP %	0.7%	2.2%	2.7%	1.0%	1.2%	0.6%



City of Santa Ana Current Lines

2020 Census


	1	2	3	4	5	6
Population	51,983	50,462	57,056	50,404	52,698	50,111
Deviation	-136	-1,657	4,937	-1,715	579	-2,008
Deviation %	-0.3%	-3.2%	9.5%	-3.3%	1.1%	-3.9%
Other	4,344	4,604	12,129	3,509	3,675	4,079
Other %				• • • • • •		8.1%
Latino		Total De	eviation o	of 13.4%		42,900
Latino %						85.6%
Asian	18,813	5,264	5,213	2,718	3,161	2,749
Asian %	36.2%	10.4%	9.1%	5.4%	6.0%	5.5%
Black	395	565	678	253	555	383
Black %	0.8%	1.1%	1.2%	0.5%	1.1%	0.8%
citizen voting	g Age Populat	ion (CVAP)	3	4	5	6
Total CVAP	31,000	24,738	33,290	26,660	23,076	23,497
Other CVAP	4,170	3,860	12,062	3,801	2,952	3,450
Other CVAP %	13.5%	15.6%	36.2%	14.3%	12.8%	14.7%
Latino CVAP	13,761	16,481	16,681	20,837	17,430	17,753
Latino CVAP %	44.4%	66.6%	50.1%	78.2%	75.5%	75.6%
Asian CVAP	12,845	3,842	3,658	1,760	2,424	2,151
Asian CVAP %	41.4%	15.5%	11.0%	6.6%	10.5%	92%
Black CVAP						
	224	555	889	262	270	143
Black CVAP %	224 0.7%	555 2.2%	889 2.7%	262 1.0%	270 12%	143 0.6%




Draft Plans A, A2, D, & E

Commissioners were provided a packet with four consultant created plans based on traditional redistricting criteria, COI testimony and amendments from the council. These maps were posted to the commission website for the public prior to Draft Map hearing.

- Wards are letters, not numbers. This is a temporary structure for identifying seats as the final draft plan is required to be numbered based on a formula in the charter.
- Each draft has been created with a less than 10% total deviation.








Plan A

Plan meets traditional redistricting criteria and also achieves the following:

- Deviation of % 8.5
- Creates four wards that are 50%+ Latino Citizen Voting Age Population in "B", "D", "E" & "F"
- Creates an Asian influence ward in Ward A at 42.4%






Plan A

- Uses existing lines as base
- Adjusts for population growth
- Follows major streets





Plan A




GARDEN GROVE

Plan A2

- Deviation of 7.4%
- Creates four wards that are 50%+ Latino Citizen Voting Age Population in "B", "D", "E" & "F"
- Creates an Asian plurality ward in Ward A at 42.9%






Plan A2

- Uses Draft Plan A as base
- Adjusts for population growth
- Follows major streets






Plan A2

- Moves DA boundary from 1st
 and Santa Ana River to S Harbor
 Blvd and McFadden Ave
- Move DA boundary from Fairview to S Sullivan St
- Move Downtown from DE to DD






Plan D

- Deviation of 9.1%
- Creates four wards that are 50%+ Latino Citizen Voting Age Population in "B", "D", "E" & "F"
- Creates an Asian plurality ward in Ward A at 42.8%






Plan D


- Follows Neighborhood lines
- Keeps Northern Neighborhoods together: West Floral Park, Floral Park, Fisher Park, Morrison Eldridge Park and Park Santiago
- Keeps Southern Neighborhoods together: Sandpointe, Republic Homes, Metro Classic, Thornton Park and South Coast






Plan D

- Keeps the Sullivan Community together
- Keeps Heninger Park, Wilshire
 Square and Downtown together






Plan E

- Deviation of 8.6%
- Creates four wards that are 50%+ Latino Citizen Voting Age Population in "B", "D", "E" & "F"
- Creates an Asian plurality ward in Ward A at 42.8%






Plan E


- Follows Neighborhood lines
- Keeps Northern Communities whole: West Floral Park, Floral Park, Fisher Park, Morrison Eldridge Park, and Park Santiago
- Keeps Southern Communities whole: Sandpointe, Republic Homes, Metro Classic, Thornton Park and South Coast





Plan E

- Keeps the Sullivan Community together
- Keeps Heninger Park, Wilshire
 Square and Downtown together



Amendments to Plans

Changes to plans may need balancing and understanding of tradeoffs. Three types of changes.

- 1) Keeps within deviation and doesn't necessitate other changes.
- 2) Identifying a change and a possible tradeoff with that same ward a "one to one swap"
- 3) Identify a change and a set of wards that involves multiple wards a "rotation"



Amendments to Plans


If there are proposed changes we can look at those individually and provide input on if they can be achieved easily or require more adjustments.




What's Next


- Official council drafts will be made public in advance of a citywide public draft feedback hearing.
- All council draft plans will go to a final plan selection hearing.
- Final Plan selection hearing will consider only draft plans that have been made public for seven days.
- Numbering of wards is done at the end of the process







City of Santa Ana Draft Plan A with Current Lines





2020 Census


	Α	В	С	D	E	F
Population	51,970	54,838	51,067	50,459	52,413	50,906
Deviation	28	2,896	-875	-1,483	471	-1,036
Deviation %	0.1%	5.6%	-1.7%	-2.9%	0.9%	-2.0%
Other	4,350	5,461	11,976	3,517	3,651	3,327
Other %	8.4%	10.0%	23.5%	7.0%	7.0%	6.5%
Latino	28,418	43,009	33,724	43,961	45,053	44,903
Latino %	54.7%	78.4%	66.0%	87.1%	86.0%	882%
Asian	18,808	5,714	4,719	2,728	3,154	2,361
Asian %	36.2%	10.4%	9.2%	5.4%	6.0%	4.6%
Black	394	654	648	253	555	315
Black %	0.8%	1.2%	1.3%	0.5%	1.1%	0.6%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	А	В	С	D	E	F
Total CVAP	31,024	26,862	29,470	26,238	20,470	20,288
Other CVAP	4,333	5,289	11,280	3,974	2,999	2,728
Other CVAP %	14.0%	19.7%	38.3%	15.1%	14.7%	13.4%
Latino CVAP	13,278	17,060	14,219	19,755	14,976	15,575
Latino CVAP %	42.8%	63.5%	48.2%	75.3%	73.2%	76.8%
Asian CVAP	13,167	3,954	3,248	2,061	2,247	1,826
Asian CVAP %	42.4%	14.7%	11.0%	7.9%	11.0%	9.0%
Black CVAP	246	559	722	449	248	159
Black CVAP %	0.8%	2.1%	2.5%	1.7%	1.2%	0.8%





Ward A



Р	opulation	Deviation	Deviation %	Othe	r Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	% Black	Black %
	51,970	28	0.1%	4,350	8.4%	28,418	54.7%	18,808	36.29	6 394	0.8%
	T	0.1		~						DI	DI 1 0 (1 D 4)
	Total CVAP	Other C	VAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian C	VAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	30,998	4,17	3 13	.5%	13,757	44.4%	12,845	41.4	-%	223	0.7%

2020 Census
















Po	pulation	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asiar	n % Black	Black %
	54,838	2,896	5.6%	5,461	10.0%	43,009	78.4%	5,714 10.4	% 654	1.2%
	Total CVAP	Other C	VAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	27,298	4,716		.3%	17,886	65.5%	4,101	15.0%	595	2.2%


2020 Census













Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	n % Black	Black %
51,067	-875	-1.7%	11,976	23.5%	33,724	66.0%	4,719 9.1	2% 648	1.3%
Total CVAI	Other C	VAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
31,119	11,77	3 37.	8%	15,092	48.5%	3,387	10.9%	867	2.8%

2020 Census











Ward D




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
50,459	-1,483	-2.9%	3,517	7.0%	43,961	87.1%	2,728	5.4%	253	0.5%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
26,679	3,802	14.3%	20,849	78.1%	1,766	6.6%	262	1.0%

2020 Census
















Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
52,413	471	0.9%	3,651	7.0%	45,053	86.0%	3,154	6.0%	555	1.1%

Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
22,893	2,916	12.7%	17,289	75.5%	2,412	10.5%	276	1.2%

2020 Census











Ward F




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian %	Black	Black %
50,906	-1,036	-2.0%	3,327	6.5%	44,903	88.2%	2,361	4.6%	315	0.6%


Total CVAP	Other CVAP	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
22,659	2,834	12.5%	17,798	78.5%	1,908	8.4%	119	0.5%

2020 Census












City of Santa Ana Draft Plan A2 with Current Lines





2020 Census


	Α	В	С	D	E	F
Population	49,763	53,110	51,067	53,213	53,594	50,906
Deviation	-2,179	1,168	-875	1,271	1,652	-1,036
Deviation %	-4.2%	2.2%	-1.7%	2.4%	3.2%	-2.0%
Other	4,307	5,419	11,976	4,053	3,200	3,327
Other %	8.7%	10.2%	23.5%	7.6%	6.0%	6.5%
Latino	26,584	41,568	33,724	45,656	46,633	44,903
Latino %	53.4%	78.3%	66.0%	85.8%	87.0%	88.2%
Asian	18,488	5,473	4,719	3,164	3,279	2,361
Asian %	37.2%	10.3%	9.2%	5.9%	6.1%	4.6%
Black	384	650	648	340	482	315
Black %	0.8%	1.2%	1.3%	0.6%	0.9%	0.6%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	Α	В	С	D	E	F
Total CVAP	30,076	26,197	29,470	26,977	21,345	20,288
Other CVAP	4,394	5,169	11,280	4,097	2,935	2,728
Other CVAP %	14.6%	19.7%	38.3%	15.2%	13.7%	13.4%
Latino CVAP	12,550	16,695	14,219	20,185	15,639	15,575
Latino CVAP %	41.7%	63.7%	48.2%	74.8%	73.3%	76.8%
Asian CVAP	12,915	3,774	3,248	2,240	2,500	1,826
Asian CVAP %	42.9%	14.4%	11.0%	8.3%	11.7%	9.0%
Black CVAP	216	559	722	456	271	159
Black CVAP %	0.7%	2.1%	2.5%	1.7%	1.3%	0.8%





District A



Р	pulation	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	n % Black	Black %
	49,763	-2,179	-4.2%	4,307	8.7%	26,584	53.4%	18,488 37.2	2% 384	0.8%
	Total CVAP	Other (VAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	30,076	4,39		.6%	12,550	41.7%	12,915	42.9%	216	0.7%

2020 Census












District B



Po	pulation	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	n % Black	Black %
	53,110	1,168	2.2%	5,419	10.2%	41,568	78.3%	5,473 10.	3% 650	1.2%
	Total CVAP	Other C	VAD Other C	\/ΔD%	Latino CVAD	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAD	Asian CVAD %	Black CVAD	Black CVAD %
	26,197	5,169			16,695	63.7%	3,774	14.4%	559	2.1%

2020 Census












District C



Ро	pulation	Deviation	Deviatio	n % Othe	r Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian A	sian %	Black	Black %
	51,067	-875	-1.7%	11,976	5 23.5%	33,724	66.0%	4,719	9.2%	648	1.3%
	Total CVAP	Other C	VAP Oth	ner CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVA	P% BI	lack CVAP	Black CVAP %
-	29,470	11,28		38.3%	14,219	48.2%	3,248	11.0%		722	2.5%

2020 Census












District D



Po	opulation	Deviation	Devi	ation %	Othe	r Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	1% Black	Black %
	53,213	1,271	2	.4%	4,053	7.6%	45,656	85.8%	3,164	5.99	6 340	0.6%
	Total CVAP	Other C	VAP	Other C	VAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian	CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	26,977	4,09	7	15.2	2%	20,185	74.8%	2,240	8.	3%	456	1.7%

2020 Census












District E



Population	n Deviatio	n Devia	ation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	% Black	Black %
53,594	1,652	3.	.2%	3,200	6.0%	46,633	87.0%	3,279	6.1%	482	0.9%
Total C	VAP Othe	r CVAP	Other C	VAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian C	CVAP%	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
21,34	5 2,	935	13.7	%	15,639	73.3%	2,500	11.3	7%	271	1.3%

2020 Census












District F




Po	pulation	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asiar	n % Black	Black %
	50,906	-1,036	-2.0%	3,327	6.5%	44,903	88.2%	2,361 4.69	% 315	0.6%
	Total CVAP	Other C	VAP Other (CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	20,288	2,72	8 13.	4%	15,575	76.8%	1,826	9.0%	159	0.8%


2020 Census








City of Santa Ana





City of Santa Ana Draft Plan D with Current Lines





2020 Census


	Α	В	С	D	E	F
Population	50,150	53,099	49,972	54,507	54,178	49,747
Deviation	-1,792	1,157	-1,970	2,565	2,236	-2,195
Deviation %	-3.5%	2.2%	-3.8%	4.9%	4.3%	-4.2%
Other	4,373	1,607	11,873	7,660	3,851	2,918
Other %	8.7%	3.0%	23.8%	14.1%	7.1%	5.9%
Latino	26,675	47,404	32,747	39,589	47,941	44,712
Latino %	53.2%	89.3%	65.5%	72.6%	88.5%	89.9%
Asian	18,694	3,644	4,712	6,648	1,905	1,881
Asian %	37.3%	6.9%	9.4%	12.2%	3.5%	3.8%
Black	408	444	640	610	481	236
Black %	0.8%	0.8%	1.3%	1.1%	0.9%	0.5%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	Α	В	С	D	E	F
Total CVAP	30,576	21,633	28,969	33,106	20,536	19,533
Other CVAP	4,355	1,271	11,207	8,019	3,341	2,412
Other CVAP %	14.2%	5.9%	38.7%	24.2%	16.3%	12.3%
Latino CVAP	12,890	17,242	13,867	19,844	15,655	15,364
Latino CVAP %	42.2%	79.7%	47.9%	59.9%	76.2%	78.7%
Asian CVAP	13,091	2,707	3,202	4,572	1,339	1,592
Asian CVAP %	42.8%	12.5%	11.1%	13.8%	6.5%	8.2%
Black CVAP	241	414	693	671	201	164
Black CVAP %	0.8%	1.9%	2.4%	2.0%	1.0%	0.8%





District A



P	opulation	Deviation	Deviat	ion % Othe	r Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian '	% Black	Black %
	50,150	-1,792	-3.5	6% 4,373	8.7%	26,675	53.2%	18,694	37.3%	408	0.8%
	Total CVAP	Other (CVAP C	ther CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CV	AP% I	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	30,576	4,35	55	14.2%	12,890	42.2%	13,091	42.89	6	241	0.8%

2020 Census












District B




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	n % Black	Black %
53,099	1,157	2.2%	1,607	3.0%	47,404	89.3%	3,644 6.9	9% 444	0.8%
Total CVA	Other C	VAP Other C	VAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
21,633	1,271	5.99	%	17,242	79.7%	2,707	12.5%	414	1.9%

2020 Census












District C



Р	opulation	Deviation	Deviation 9	6 Othe	r Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	n % Black	Black %
	49,972	-1,970	-3.8%	11,873	23.8%	32,747	65.5%	4,712 9.4	% 640	1.3%
	Total CVAP	Other	CVAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	28,969	11,20	07 3	8.7%	13,867	47.9%	3,202	11.1%	693	2.4%

2020 Census












District D




Po	opulation	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	% Black	Black %
	54,507	2,565	4.9%	7,660	14.1%	39,589	72.6%	6,648	12.29	6 610	1.1%
	Total CVAP	Other C	VAP Other C	VAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian C\	/AP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	33,106	8,019	24.3	2%	19,844	59.9%	4,572	13.89	%	671	2.0%

2020 Census












District E




Po	opulation	Deviation	Devi	ation %	Othe	r Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	1% Black	Black %
	54,178	2,236	4	.3%	3,851	7.1%	47,941	88.5%	1,905	3.59	6 481	0.9%
	Total CVAP	Other (VAP	Other (CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian	CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
-	20,536	3,34	a	16.	3%	15,655	76.2%	1,339	6	5.5%	201	1.0%

2020 Census












District F





Р	opulation	Deviation	Deviat	ion % Othe	r Other %	6 Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	% Black	Black %
	49,747	-2,195	-4.2	2,918	5.9%	44,712	89.9%	1,881	3.89	6 236	0.5%
	Total CVAP	Other (CVAP C	Other CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	S Asian CVAP	Asian	CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	19,533	2,4	12	12.3%	15,364	78.7%	1,592	8	.2%	164	0.8%

2020 Census












City of Santa Ana Draft Plan E with Current Lines





2020 Census


	Α	В	С	D	E	F
Population	50,150	53,554	53,390	52,172	49,110	53,277
Deviation	-1,792	1,612	1,448	230	-2,832	1,335
Deviation %	-3.5%	3.1%	2.8%	0.4%	-5.5%	2.6%
Other	4,373	2,315	11,101	8,110	1,791	4,592
Other %	8.7%	4.3%	20.8%	15.5%	3.6%	8.6%
Latino	26,675	46,342	38,198	37,386	44,508	45,959
Latino %	53.2%	86.5%	71.5%	71.7%	90.6%	86.3%
Asian	18,694	4,533	3,368	6,023	2,521	2,345
Asian %	37.3%	8.5%	6.3%	11.5%	5.1%	4.4%
Black	408	364	723	653	290	381
Black %	0.8%	0.7%	1.4%	1.3%	0.6%	0.7%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)

	Α	В	С	D	E	F
Total CVAP	30,576	22,738	26,797	30,510	22,850	20,882
Other CVAP	4,355	2,152	10,179	7,962	1,720	4,235
Other CVAP %	14.2%	9.5%	38.0%	26.1%	7.5%	20.3%
Latino CVAP	12,890	16,808	13,716	17,900	18,967	14,582
Latino CVAP %	42.2%	73.9%	51.2%	58.7%	83.0%	69.8%
Asian CVAP	13,091	3,466	2,180	4,041	1,858	1,866
Asian CVAP %	42.8%	15.2%	8.1%	13.2%	8.1%	8.9%
Black CVAP	241	311	721	607	305	199
Black CVAP %	0.8%	1.4%	2.7%	2.0%	1.3%	1.0%





District A



Р	opulation	Deviation	Deviati	ion % Othe	r Other %	6 Latino	Latino %	Asian	Asian	% Black	Black %
	50,150	-1,792	-3.5	% 4,373	8.7%	26,675	53.2%	18,694	37.3	% 408	0.8%
	Total CVAP	Other (CVAP O	ther CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP	% Asian CVAF	Asian	CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	30,576	4,35	55	14.2%	12,890	42.2%	13,091	42	2.8%	241	0.8%

2020 Census












District B



Р	opulation	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	n % Black	Black %
	53,554	1,612	3.1%	2,315	4.3%	46,342	86.5%	4,533 8.5	5% 364	0.7%
	Total CVAP	Other C	VAP Other C	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	22,738	2,152	9.5	5%	16,808	73.9%	3,466	15.2%	311	1.4%

2020 Census












District C




Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian As	ian % Blac	k Black %
53,390	1,448	2.8%	11,101	20.8%	38,198	71.5%	3,368	5.3% 723	1.4%
Total CVA	P Other C	VAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP	% Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
26,797	10,17	9 38	3.0%	13,716	51.2%	2,180	8.1%	721	2.7%


2020 Census









District D



Population	Deviation I	Deviation %	Othe	other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asia	n % Black	Black %
52,172	230	0.4%	8,110	15.5%	37,386	71.7%	6,023 11.5	% 653	1.3%
Total CVA	Other C\	VAP Other CV	′AP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
30,510	7,962	26.19	6	17,900	58.7%	4,041	13.2%	607	2.0%

2020 Census












District E



Population	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asiar	n % Black	Black %
49,110	-2,832	-5.5%	1,791	3.6%	44,508	90.6%	2,521 5.19	% 290	0.6%
Total CVAP	Other C	VAP Other C	VAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
22,850	1,720	7.5	%	18,967	83.0%	1,858	8.1%	305	1.3%

2020 Census










District F



P	opulation	Deviation	Deviation %	Other	Other %	Latino	Latino %	Asian Asiar	n % Black	Black %
	53,277	1,335	2.6%	4,592	8.6%	45,959	86.3%	2,345 4.4	% 381	0.7%
,	Total CVAP	0.	"\(AD_O+b==	C) / A D 0/	L-+: C) (AD	1 -ti C)/AD 0/	A=i== C)/AD	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	TOTAL CVAP	Other C	.VAP Other	CVAP %	Latino CVAP	Latino CVAP %	Asian CVAP	Asian CVAP %	Black CVAP	Black CVAP %
	20,882	4,23	5 20	.3%	14,582	69.8%	1,866	8.9%	199	1.0%

2020 Census

